

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| <b>FOREWORD</b> ..... | 1 |
| Jean-Bernard Caron    |   |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>PREFACE</b> .....                       | 7 |
| Paul A. Johnston and Kimberley J. Johnston |   |

## THE CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY–GEOLOGICAL SURVEY OF CANADA EXCAVATION OF THE BURGESS SHALE IN 1967

David L. Bruton

|                         |    |
|-------------------------|----|
| ABSTRACT.....           | 9  |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....   | 9  |
| PREPARATION.....        | 10 |
| BURGESS PASS.....       | 10 |
| WORK AT THE QUARRY..... | 11 |
| CAMP LIFE.....          | 11 |
| WILD LIFE.....          | 16 |
| THE END.....            | 16 |
| REFERENCES.....         | 17 |

## WHAT IS A PHYLUM? SYSTEMATICS, EVOLUTION AND THE BURGESS SHALE

Keynyn Brysse

|  |    |
|--|----|
| ABSTRACT.....  | 19 |
| INTRODUCTION.....  | 20 |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....   | 21 |
| CHANGING INTERPRETATIONS OF THE BURGESS SHALE.....                   | 22 |
| THE SHAPE OF MORPHOLOGICAL DISPARITY.....                            | 22 |
| THE OCCUPATION AND NAVIGATION OF MORPHOSPACE.....                    | 24 |
| GOULD'S MORPHOSPACE MODEL: YOU CAN'T GET THERE FROM HERE.....        | 25 |
| CONWAY MORRIS'S MORPHOSPACE MODEL: NARROW ROADS OF POTENTIALITY..... | 26 |
| EVOLUTIONARY CONSTRAINTS; ADAPTATIONISM VERSUS STRUCTURALISM.....    | 27 |
| WHAT IS A PHYLUM?.....   | 30 |
| PHYLA AND BODYPLANS.....   | 30 |
| GOULD AS WEAK ESSENTIALIST; CONWAY MORRIS AS CLASSIC DARWINIST.....  | 31 |
| PHASE 3: A LINEAGE-BASED DEFINITION OF PHYLA.....                    | 32 |
| CONCLUSION.....  | 35 |
| PHYLA AND THE BURGESS SHALE.....                                     | 35 |
| FUTURE POSSIBILITIES.....  | 35 |
| REFERENCES.....  | 36 |

## THE DEVELOPMENT OF MID-CAMBRIAN LITHOSTRATIGRAPHICAL NOMENCLATURE IN THE VICINITY OF THE BURGESS SHALE, KICKING HORSE BELT OF CANADA

Terence P. Fletcher

|                      |    |
|----------------------|----|
| ABSTRACT.....        | 39 |
| INTRODUCTION.....    | 39 |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS..... | 40 |
| 1861–1910.....       | 40 |
| 1910–1928.....       | 43 |
| 1928–1954.....       | 44 |
| 1954–1980.....       | 46 |
| 1980–2003.....       | 48 |
| ROM STUDIES.....     | 50 |
| 2003–2009.....       | 55 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| ISSUES .....  | 57 |
| DIFFERENTIATION OF THE CONTEMPORANEOUS NAISSET AND MOUNT WHYTE FORMATIONS .....     | 57 |
| AITKEN'S TAKAKKAW TONGUE.....   | 59 |
| CATHEDRAL FORMATION-TAKAKKAW FORMATION RELATIONSHIPS IN THE KICKING HORSE VALLEY .. | 59 |
| THE ORIGIN OF THE CATHEDRAL ESCARPMENT.....   | 62 |
| MONARCH FORMATION IN THE KICKING HORSE VALLEY .....                                 | 63 |
| NARAO-WAPUTIK / PARADOX-MARPOLE RELATIONSHIPS.....                                  | 64 |
| LOCAL DEVELOPMENTS OF THE BURGESS SHALE FORMATION .....                             | 67 |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS .....  | 68 |
| REFERENCES .....  | 68 |

**A NEW BURGESS SHALE-TYPE LOCALITY IN THE “THIN” STEPHEN FORMATION,  
KOOTENAY NATIONAL PARK, BRITISH COLUMBIA:  
STRATIGRAPHIC AND PALEOENVIRONMENTAL SETTING**

Robert R. Gaines

|   |    |
|---|----|
| ABSTRACT.....   | 73 |
| INTRODUCTION .....  | 74 |
| DEPOSITIONAL SETTING AND STRATIGRAPHY OF THE STEPHEN FORMATION .....        | 75 |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....   | 77 |
| METHODS .....   | 77 |
| RESULTS.....  | 77 |
| STRATIGRAPHIC SETTING OF THE STANLEY GLACIER BIOTA .....                    | 77 |
| DEPOSITIONAL ENVIRONMENTS OF THE STEPHEN FORMATION AT STANLEY GLACIER ..... | 79 |
| LITHOFACIES .....   | 79 |
| CALCAREOUS CLAYSTONE LITHOFACIES .....                                      | 79 |
| CLAYSTONE AND NODULAR LIME MUDSTONE LITHOFACIES .....                       | 81 |
| THIN BEDDED WACKESTONE LITHOFACIES .....                                    | 83 |
| PACKSTONE LITHOFACIES .....   | 83 |
| CYCLICITY .....   | 84 |
| PALEOENVIRONMENTAL SETTING OF THE STANLEY GLACIER BIOTA.....                | 84 |
| RELATIONSHIP TO CATHEDRAL ESCARPMENT .....                                  | 84 |
| COMPARISON WITH THE GREATER PHYLLOPOD BED, FOSSIL RIDGE .....               | 85 |
| COMPARISON TO OTHER BST DEPOSITS .....                                      | 85 |
| CONCLUSIONS.....  | 85 |
| REFERENCES .....  | 86 |

**TRACE-FOSSIL ASSEMBLAGES IN A BURGESS SHALE-TYPE DEPOSIT  
FROM THE STEPHEN FORMATION AT STANLEY GLACIER, CANADIAN ROCKY MOUNTAINS:  
UNRAVELING ECOLOGIC AND EVOLUTIONARY CONTROLS**

M. Gabriela Mángano

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| ABSTRACT.....  | 89  |
| INTRODUCTION .....   | 90  |
| GEOLOGIC SETTING .....   | 91  |
| THE STANLEY GLACIER ICHNOFAUNA.....  | 91  |
| TRACE FOSSILS WITH EXTENSIVE BEDDING-PLANE DISTRIBUTION .....                        | 94  |
| TRACE-FOSSIL ASSEMBLAGE I.....   | 94  |
| TRACE-FOSSIL ASSEMBLAGE II.....  | 95  |
| ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS ON THE STANLEY GLACIER ICHNOCOMMUNITY .....                   | 97  |
| STANLEY GLACIER TRACE-FOSSIL TAPHONOMY .....   | 99  |
| MACROEVOLUTIONARY CONTROLS ON CAMBRIAN OXYGEN-DEFICIENT ICHNOFAUNAS .....            | 100 |
| EXPLORING THE CONSTRUCTION OF AN OXYGEN MODEL FOR BURGESS SHALE-TYPE ICHNOFAUNAS ... | 101 |
| CONCLUSIONS.....   | 102 |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....  | 103 |
| REFERENCES .....   | 103 |

## THE SIRIUS PASSET LAGERSTÄTTE (EARLY CAMBRIAN) OF NORTH GREENLAND

John S. Peel and Jon R. Ineson

|                               |     |
|-------------------------------|-----|
| ABSTRACT.....                 | 109 |
| INTRODUCTION .....            | 110 |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....         | 110 |
| HISTORY OF EXPLORATION .....  | 110 |
| GEOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK.....     | 113 |
| THE SIRIUS PASSET BIOTA ..... | 114 |
| CONCLUSIONS.....              | 116 |
| REFERENCES .....              | 116 |

## TRILOBITE TAPHONOMY OF THE LATHAM SHALE (LOWER CAMBRIAN; DYERAN), MOJAVE DESERT, CALIFORNIA: AN INNER DETRITAL BELT BURGESS SHALE-TYPE DEPOSIT OF WESTERN LAURENTIA

John R. Foster

|                                      |     |
|--------------------------------------|-----|
| ABSTRACT.....                        | 119 |
| INTRODUCTION .....                   | 119 |
| GEOLOGIC SETTING .....               | 120 |
| METHODS .....                        | 122 |
| RESULTS.....                         | 123 |
| DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE.....         | 123 |
| SIZE.....                            | 123 |
| ARTICULATION AND FRAGMENTATION ..... | 124 |
| BIOSTRATINOMY.....                   | 126 |
| DISCUSSION.....                      | 128 |
| DIVERSITY AND ABUNDANCE.....         | 128 |
| SIZE.....                            | 129 |
| ARTICULATION AND FRAGMENTATION ..... | 129 |
| BIOSTRATINOMY.....                   | 132 |
| GENERAL.....                         | 134 |
| CONCLUSIONS.....                     | 135 |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....                | 136 |
| REFERENCES .....                     | 136 |
| APPENDIX.....                        | 139 |

## THE INFLUENCE OF SULFATE CONCENTRATION ON SOFT-TISSUE DECAY AND PRESERVATION

Emma Hammarlund , Don E. Canfield, Stefan Bengtson, Peter Mygind Leth, Burkhard Schillinger and Elbio Calzada

|                                  |     |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| ABSTRACT.....                    | 141 |
| INTRODUCTION .....               | 142 |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....            | 143 |
| MATERIALS AND METHODS .....      | 143 |
| LABORATORY METHODS .....         | 143 |
| IMAGING METHODS .....            | 145 |
| RESULTS.....                     | 146 |
| CARBON BUDGETS .....             | 146 |
| IMAGING .....                    | 148 |
| SHRIMP.....                      | 148 |
| WORM.....                        | 149 |
| FIRST ORDER DECAY CONSTANT ..... | 150 |
| DISCUSSION.....                  | 150 |
| CONCLUSIONS.....                 | 152 |
| REFERENCES .....                 | 152 |
| APPENDIX.....                    | 154 |

**SOME OBSERVATIONS ON THE SENSORY ORGANIZATION OF THE  
CRUSTACEOMORPH *WAPTIA FIELDENSI* WALCOTT**

Nicholas J. Strausfeld

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| ABSTRACT.....                              | 157 |
| INTRODUCTION .....                         | 157 |
| MATERIAL AND METHODS.....                  | 158 |
| RESULTS .....                              | 158 |
| 1. HEAD (CEPHALON).....                    | 159 |
| 2. COMPOUND EYES .....                     | 159 |
| 3. PREORAL APPENDAGES .....                | 159 |
| 4. GNATHOTHORAX.....                       | 161 |
| 4.1 MOUTHPARTS .....                       | 161 |
| 4.2 THORACIC APPENDAGES: WALKING LEGS..... | 161 |
| 4.3 THORACIC APPENDAGES: GILLS .....       | 163 |
| 4.4 THORACIC SHIELD (“CARAPACE”).....      | 163 |
| 5. ABDOMEN AND TELSON .....                | 163 |
| 6. PUTATIVE NERVOUS SYSTEM.....            | 164 |
| DISCUSSION.....                            | 165 |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....                      | 167 |
| REFERENCES .....                           | 168 |

***ECHMATOCRINUS* FROM THE MIDDLE CAMBRIAN BURGESS SHALE:  
A CRINOID ECHINODERM OR AN OCTOCORAL CNIDARIAN?**

James Sprinkle and Desmond Collins

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| ABSTRACT.....  | 169 |
| INTRODUCTION .....   | 169 |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....  | 169 |
| ABBREVIATIONS.....   | 170 |
| GENERAL FEATURES OF <i>ECHMATOCRINUS</i> .....                       | 170 |
| MORPHOLOGIC FEATURES INDICATING LIKELY CRINOID AFFINITIES.....       | 170 |
| PROBLEMS WITH A CRINOID ASSIGNMENT FOR <i>ECHMATOCRINUS</i> .....    | 172 |
| MORPHOLOGIC FEATURES INDICATING LIKELY OCTOCORAL AFFINITIES .....    | 173 |
| PROBLEMS WITH AN OCTOCORAL ASSIGNMENT FOR <i>ECHMATOCRINUS</i> ..... | 174 |
| CONCLUSIONS.....   | 175 |
| REFERENCES .....   | 175 |

**THE PALAEOSCOLECIDA AND THE EVOLUTION OF THE ECDYSOZOA**

Andrey Yu. Zhuravlev, José Antonio Gámez Vintaned and Eladio Liñán

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| ABSTRACT.....   | 177 |
| INTRODUCTION .....  | 178 |
| CAMBRIAN VERMIFORM ECDYSOZOANS .....                                  | 181 |
| CAMBRIAN CEPHALORHYNCHS .....   | 181 |
| ANATOMY AND AFFINITIES OF THE PALAEOSCOLECIDA .....                   | 182 |
| GENERAL MORPHOLOGY AND INTEGUMENTS .....                              | 182 |
| MUSCULATURE .....   | 183 |
| NERVOUS SYSTEM .....  | 183 |
| SENSORY ORGANS AND GLANDS .....                                       | 183 |
| DIGESTIVE SYSTEM AND BODY CAVITY .....                                | 184 |
| EMBRYOGENESIS .....   | 185 |
| AFFINITIES OF PALAEOSCOLECIDANS AND OTHER ANCIENT CEPHALORHYNCHS..... | 185 |
| OTHER CAMBRIAN VERMIFORM ECDYSOZOANS AND THEIR RELATIONSHIPS .....    | 187 |
| PHYLOGENETIC RELATIONSHIPS AMONG THE ECDYSOZOA.....                   | 189 |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....   | 195 |
| REFERENCES .....  | 196 |

**POSSIBLE EDIACARAN ANCESTRY OF THE HALKIERIIDS**

Jerzy Dzik

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| ABSTRACT.....                                 | 205 |
| INTRODUCTION .....                            | 206 |
| MATERIAL AND METHODS.....                     | 207 |
| REINTERPRETATION OF <i>AUSIA</i> .....        | 208 |
| MOLLUSCAN AFFINITIES OF THE HALKIERIIDS ..... | 210 |
| REINTERPRETATION OF <i>KIMBERELLA</i> .....   | 212 |
| HOMOLOGY OF MOUTH APPARATUS .....             | 213 |
| CONCLUSIONS.....                              | 216 |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....                         | 216 |
| REFERENCES .....                              | 216 |